# Modelling Slow Beam Degradation from Electron Clouds in the Large Hadron Collider

Konstantinos Paraschou

#### CERN $\rightarrow$ DESY

Acknowledgements to my previous colleagues in the BE-ABP group at CERN

Joint DESY and University of Hamburg Accelerator Physics Seminar DESY, Hamburg, Germany 25 February 2025

#### Outline

- 1. Introduction to electron clouds and the LHC
- 2. Scenario 1: Emittance growth at injection energy (450 GeV)
- 3. Scenario 2: Extra beam losses during collision (6.8 TeV)

#### Outline

- 1. Introduction to electron clouds and the LHC
- 2. Scenario 1: Emittance growth at injection energy (450 GeV)
- 3. Scenario 2: Extra beam losses during collision (6.8 TeV)

### **Electron clouds**



- Electrons are introduced into the beam chamber (residual gas ionization / synchr. rad. + photoelectric effect)
- 2. Electrons are accelerated by passing bunches and impact on beam chamber.
  - Depending on energy of electron and **Secondary Emission Yield** of surface, electrons can be emitted.

If conditions allow, **electrons multiply exponentially!** 



### **Electron clouds**



• Electrons multiply until a saturation is reached.

- Number of electrons quickly decays when bunches are not passing.
- Magnetic fields strongly affect the e-cloud.



#### **Electron cloud effects**



The electron flux to the wall is responsible for

- Spurious signal for beam instrumentation
- Dynamic pressure rise
- Heat deposition (One of the largest limitations in the LHC)

The electron density inside the chamber causes:

- Tune shift along several bunches
- Synchronous phase shift along several bunches.
- Coherent beam instabilities (single and coupled bunch)
- **Incoherent effects** (beam lifetime degradation and slow emittance growth)

#### **Focus of this talk**

## **The Large Hadron Collider**



8 Arcs:

23 "FODO" cells per arc:

- 1. Focusing Quadrupole
- 2. 3x Dipoles
- 3. Defocusing Quadrupole

4. 3x Dipoles

#### 8 Insertion Regions:

- 1. ATLAS
- 2. ALICE
- 3. Momentum cleaning
- 4. RF Beam Instrum.
- 5. CMS
- 6. LHC Dump
- 7. Betratron cleaning
- 8. LHCb

**Primary purpose of the LHC is to provide high-energy proton-proton collisions** to the experiments (ATLAS, CMS, ...) which study their byproducts.

# **Filling scheme**



Standard 2018 Physics filling scheme (2556 bunches) [lpc.web.cern.ch]

Beam is composed of repeating patterns (trains):

- 2x48 bunches,
- 3x48 bunches.

Bunches spaced by 25ns.

- 200ns: Small gap, e-cloud partially resets.
- 800ns: Big gap, e-cloud almost completely resets.



#### **Recognizing electron cloud effects**



# Extra beam losses during collisions (6.8 TeV):

- Most losses come from inelastic proton-proton collisions ("burn-off").
- Additional losses with a pattern that grows within the "train" of bunches.



Universal characteristic of e-cloud to grow from the head to the tail of a beam.

## Outline

- 1. Introduction to electron clouds and the LHC
- 2. Scenario 1: Emittance growth at injection energy (450 GeV)
- 3. Scenario 2: Extra beam losses during collision (6.8 TeV)

## **Electron cloud pinch**

**Incoherent electron cloud effects** concern the motion of single particles under the influence of the non-linear forces induced by the electrons.



- Motion of electrons is very complex → Complex electron densities → complex induced forces.
- Protons from the beam are "moving" within these complex forces due to:
  - Betatron oscillations: up-down, left-right
  - Synchrotron oscillations: back-forth in "time"
- $\rightarrow$  Increase of proton oscillation amplitude  $\rightarrow$  losses + emittance growth.

# **Electron cloud pinch**

- Collective effect
- Affects single-particle dynamics
- E-cloud dynamics: ~ ns
- Single-particle dynamics: ~ minutes
- Complex forces

Weak-strong approximation:

- Compute evolution of electron cloud once (PyECLOUD).
- Field-maps of several GB.
- Re-use in particle tracking code (Xsuite).
- Simulate non-linear lattice of LHC.



$$\begin{aligned} x, y, \tau &\mapsto x, y, \tau \\ p_x &\mapsto p_x - \frac{qL}{\beta_0 P_0 c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x, y, \tau) \\ p_y &\mapsto p_y - \frac{qL}{\beta_0 P_0 c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(x, y, \tau) \\ p_\tau &\mapsto p_\tau - \frac{qL}{\beta_0 P_0 c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau}(x, y, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

• 3D grid (~400<sup>3</sup> points) of scalar potential  $\varphi$ , interpolated to provide symplectic kick, with a thin-lens approximation.

# **E-cloud setup**

E-cloud exists across the full length of the LHC beam pipe. **Different magnetic fields lead to completely different e-clouds.** Most significant contributors:

- 1. E-cloud in arc dipoles (MB) (66%)
- 2. E-cloud in arc quadrupoles (MQ) (7%)

We place one interaction for each three dipoles and each quadrupole.



- Betatron and dispersion functions stay the same between each cell.
- Approximate SEY as uniform everywhere. Large fluctuations in reality.
- Effect from saturated e-cloud.

# **E-cloud** setup

- One dipole-type e-cloud per half-cell
- $\rightarrow$  46 interactions per arc
- $\rightarrow$  368 interactions.
- One quadrupole-type e-cloud per half-cell
- $\rightarrow$  45 interactions per arc
- $\rightarrow$  360 interactions.



Total simulation time:  $\sim$  7 days for 10M turns (15 minutes of beam time), 20 000 particles, per Nvidia V100 GPU

Tracking time per e-cloud type ( $\sim 360$ ) interactions) is about as much as rest of the lattice (11k tracking elements).

 $1.20 \cdot 10^{11}$  ppb, MB + MQ

10

12

14

Without e-cloud

With e-cloud

16

#### **Electron cloud induced forces**

- Emittance growth is driven by the e-cloud in the main quadrupoles.
- Electron clouds induce **time-dependent** forces.
- Forces are highly non-linear in the vicinity of large local densities.
- Clouds forming in quadrupoles exhibit strong density in the center.
- In the LHC,  $\approx 90^{\circ}$  phase advance between main quadrupoles in the arcs.



## **Optics and incoherent e-cloud effects at injection**

- Off-momentum Frequency Map Analysis
- Synchro-betatron resonances identified as cause of emittance growth  $(2Q_x - 2Q_y + mQ_{\zeta} = 4)$
- Modifications to the LHC injection optics were proposed to: Change phase advance between different arcs to self-compensate Resonance Driving Terms.

a) from main octupole magnets.b) from electron clouds in the main quadrupoles.

• New optics in operation since 2023.



# **Optics change**

Induced phase advance change:



• Small change on beta functions (< 5%).



# **Expectations from simulation**

# Old optics, new optics

Repeating the emittance growth simulations:

• Emittance growth rate is not expected to go to zero.

Additional effects not shown here:

- Reduction of beam loss rate,
- Reduction of "halo" formation (non-gaussian transverse beam profile)

Simulations (and optics modification) assume electron cloud is uniformly distributed along the different quadrupoles. In reality it is not.



# **Experimental measurements**

• Machine studies in 2024 confirm the positive impact on emittance.

• Positive impact also on beam lifetime, and beam halo formation.

Horizontal B2 1.0 Measurement 0.8 **Old optics**, Emittance growth rate [µm/h] new optics 0.6 0.4 0.2 -0.0 Vertical B2 1.75 Coherent beam instabilities 1.50 Emittance growth rate [µm/h]

400

Bunch slot

800

19

600

0.25

0.00

0

200

#### Unexpected feature :

• Emittance growth of "first" bunch (not e-cloud) is sometimes increased.

## Outline

- 1. Introduction to electron clouds and the LHC
- 2. Scenario 1: Emittance growth at injection energy (450 GeV)
- 3. Scenario 2: Extra beam losses during collision (6.8 TeV)



# Strategy

An e-cloud slice can be described by a scalar potential  $\phi(x, y, \zeta)$  in a thin-lens formalism.

- 1. Transport slices to same location.
- 2. Slices commute (only depend on x, y,  $\zeta$ ). They can be summed.

#### [G. Iadarola, CERN-ACC-NOTE-2019-0033]

$$x, y, \zeta \mapsto x, y, \zeta$$

$$p_x \mapsto p_x - \frac{qL}{\beta_0 P_0 c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x, y, \zeta)$$

$$p_y \mapsto p_y - \frac{qL}{\beta_0 P_0 c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(x, y, \zeta)$$

$$p_\zeta \mapsto p_\zeta - \frac{qL}{\beta_0 P_0 c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \zeta}(x, y, \zeta)$$



 $\zeta$  refers to  $s - \beta_0 ct$ , the longitudinal distance from the reference particle

# **Approximations**



#### (1<sup>st</sup> approximation):

Courant-Snyder parameterization

$$e^{:f_{ij}:}x = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_j}{\beta_i}} \left(\cos \mu_{ij} + \alpha_i \sin \mu_{ij}\right) (x - x_i) + \sqrt{\beta_i \beta_j} \sin \mu_{ij} \left(p_x - p_{x,i}\right) + x_j$$

(2<sup>nd</sup> approximation):

Constant phase advance  $\mu_{ij} \approx 0$ 

(3<sup>rd</sup> approximation):

No longitudinal motion

$$e^{:f_{ij}:}\zeta = \zeta$$

Effective (lumped) e-cloud:

$$\Phi(x, y, \zeta) = \sum_{i} \phi_{i} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{x,i}}{\beta_{x,k}}} \left( x - x_{k} \right) + x_{i}, \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{y,i}}{\beta_{y,k}}} \left( y - y_{k} \right) + y_{i}, \zeta \right)$$

- Combines all slices into one scalar potential.
- Equation can be evaluated on a 3D grid, and treated as a single slice.

$$e^{-:\Phi:}$$
  
 $e^{:f_{ik}:}$ 

#### **Effective e-cloud**



ey · L [kV]

$$\Phi(x, y, \zeta) = \sum_{i} \phi_{i} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{x,i}}{\beta_{x,k}}} \left( x - x_{k} \right) + x_{i}, \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{y,i}}{\beta_{y,k}}} \left( y - y_{k} \right) + y_{i}, \zeta \right)$$

- Non-linear time-dependent forces.
- Forces become exceedingly nonlinear at large amplitudes of oscillation.



Weak-strong simulations:

- Assume e-cloud is in a steady state.
- Map is constructed once in a "pre-processing stage", and re-used during particle tracking.

## **Simulation flow**



Tracking time for 1 000 000 turns, 20 000 particles in A100 GPU:

LHC lattice :	5.7 hours
LHC lattice + beam-beam :	6.1 hours
LHC lattice + beam-beam + e-cloud :	7.0 hours

# **Dynamic aperture**

Dynamic aperture over 1 000 000 turns, including the e-clouds in the 4 inner triplets (left and right of i.p. 1 and 5).

- E-cloud in triplet scales favorably with higher intensity.
- E-cloud effects can become as strong as beam-beam effects at low bunch intensities.
- E-clouds are worse with larger Secondary Emission Yield (SEY).
- SEY < 1.10 will be enough to mitigate the effect of e-cloud in the triplets.



## **Summary**

- Simulated **incoherent electron cloud effects**, massive simulation campaigns with GPUs.
- At injection energy:
  - Found in simulations the measured emittance growth.
  - Unexpectedly, realized that optics could partially alleviate some of the undesired effects.
  - Optics in operation since 2023, enjoying the benefits.
- During collisions:
  - Extremely complex electron cloud field-maps, requiring ~TBs of memory.
  - Developed method to simulate with sustainable memory requirements.
  - Reproduced qualitative behavior in simulations (dynamic aperture).
  - Reassured that measures taken for the High-Luminosity LHC Upgrade (amorphous carbon coating) are sufficient.

Thank you for your attention! Konstantinos Paraschou



- Focus on Q3 quadrupole (right of interaction point 1): Q3R1.
- 64 slices, can fit in 1TB RAM computers.
- Dynamic aperture simulations to test previous equation.
- Good agreement.



# **Frequency Map Analysis**



- Tracking over 100 000 turns, tune evaluated over:
  - First 50 000 turns,
  - Last 50 000 turns.

Difference in tune  $\rightarrow$  tune is not constant and so trajectory is chaotic.

- E-cloud doesn't cause a significant tune-shift (compared to beam-beam effects)
- Visible effect of e-cloud  $\rightarrow$  increase of non-linearities.

# Dynamic aperture Tune scan

Dynamic aperture over 1 000 000 turns, including the e-clouds in the 4 inner triplets (left and right of i.p. 1 and 5). Simulations varying the working point.

- E-cloud effects cause a reduction of dynamic aperture for all tunes.
- The optimal working point remains similar.

Simulation parameters:

Bunch intensity =  $1.2 \ 10^{11} \text{ p/b}$ SEY = 1.30



# **Synchro-betatron RDTs**

Resonance: 
$$(j-k)Q_x + (l-m)Q_y$$
  
Octupole:  
 $f_{jklm}^{(1)}(s) = \frac{\sum_w h_{w,jklm} e^{i[(j-k)\Delta\phi_{w,x}^{(s)} + (l-m)\Delta\phi_{w,y}^{(s)}]}}{1 - e^{2\pi i[(j-k)Q_x + (l-m)Q_y]}}$   
 $H \propto (x^4 - 6x^2y^2 + y^4)$   
Electron cloud:

$$f_{jklmno}^{(1)}(s) = \frac{\sum_{w} h_{w,jklmno} e^{i \left[ (j-k)\Delta\phi_{w,x}^{(s)} + (l-m)\Delta\phi_{w,y}^{(s)} + (n-o)\Delta\phi_{w,\zeta}^{(s)} \right]}}{1 - e^{2\pi i \left[ (j-k)Q_x + (l-m)Q_y + (n-o)Q_\zeta \right]}}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H}_{ec}(x,y,\zeta) &= \sum_{k} \varphi_{00k} \zeta^{k} + \qquad (\text{acceleration}) \\ &+ x^{2} \sum_{k} \varphi_{20k} \zeta^{k} + y^{2} \sum_{k} \varphi_{02k} \zeta^{k} + \qquad (\text{tune shift, chromaticity-like effects}) \\ &+ x^{4} \sum_{k} \varphi_{40k} \zeta^{k} + x^{2} y^{2} \sum_{k} \varphi_{22k} \zeta^{k} + y^{4} \sum_{k} \varphi_{04k} \zeta^{k} + \qquad (\text{octupole-like}) \\ &+ \dots \end{split}$$

# **Buildup simulations in Inner Triplet quadrupoles**

Two beams: 1e9 1e9 Electron density [e<sup>-1</sup>] 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.0 0 250 300 350 50 100 150 200 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 0 Time / (25 ns) Time / (25 ns) 6 6 Burn-off **Burn-off** Loss rate [%/h] Loss rate [%/h] ^ A Other Other 4 1300 1400 1500 1600 1700 800 900 1000 1100 1200 Bunch slots [25 ns] Bunch slots [25 ns]

The bunch-by-bunch pattern of the losses resembles the e-cloud buildup simulations of the Inner Triplet quadrupoles.

One beam:



#### 2023 Injection optics (phase knob) - MD



#### **Lie tranformations**

Lie transformations are operators that describe the solution of Hamiltonian systems:  $z(L) = e^{-:LH:}z(0)$ 

where 
$$:H: f = [H, f] = \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \right)$$
 is the Poisson bracket.



#### Lie transformations



- $\phi_j$ : Hamiltonian of e-cloud interaction for one slice at location j
- $f_{ij}$ : Hamiltonian of transport between location *i* and *j*
- $f_{jk}$ : Hamiltonian of transport between location j and k

Step 1: use property 
$$e^{:-f:}e^{:g:}e^{:f:} = \exp(:e^{:-f:}g:)$$

$$e^{:f_{ij}:}e^{:\phi_j:}e^{:f_{jk}:} = e^{:f_{ij}:}e^{:f_{jk}:}e^{-:f_{jk}:}e^{:\phi_j:}e^{:f_{jk}:}$$
$$= e^{:f_{ij}:}e^{:f_{jk}:}exp\left(:e^{:-f_{jk}:}\phi_j:\right)$$

#### Lie transformations

Lie transformations – Courant-Snyder parameterization

$$e^{:-f_{jk}:}\phi_j(x, y, \zeta) = \phi_j(e^{:-f_{jk}:}x, e^{:-f_{jk}:}y, e^{:-f_{jk}:}\zeta)$$

**Courant-Snyder parameterization (first approximation):** 

$$e^{:f_{ij}:x} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_j}{\beta_i}} \left(\cos \mu_{ij} + \alpha_i \sin \mu_{ij}\right) (x - x_i) + \sqrt{\beta_i \beta_j} \sin \mu_{ij} \left(p_x - p_{x,i}\right) + x_j$$

**Constant phase advance (second approximation):** 

$$\mu_{ij} \approx 0$$
  
Transformation becomes:  $e^{:f_{ij}:}x = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_j}{\beta_i}}(x - x_i) + x_j$ 



Third approximation: longitudinal coordinate doesn't change.

$$e^{:f_{ij}:}\zeta = \zeta$$

#### Super-e-cloud

$$e^{:-f_{jk}:}\phi_{j}(x, y, \zeta) = \phi_{j}(e^{:-f_{jk}:}x, e^{:-f_{jk}:}y, e^{:-f_{jk}:}\zeta)$$
$$e^{:-f_{jk}:}\phi_{j} = \phi_{j}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta_{x,j}}{\beta_{x,k}}}(x - x_{k}) + x_{j}, \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{y,j}}{\beta_{y,k}}}(y - y_{k}) + y_{j}, \zeta\right)$$

Equation is manageable in this form.

 $\phi_j$  is defined on a 3D grid, we just need to reinterpolate based on the above equation.



$$\Phi(x, y, \zeta) = \sum_{i} \phi_{i} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{x,i}}{\beta_{x,k}}} \left( x - x_{k} \right) + x_{i}, \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{y,i}}{\beta_{y,k}}} \left( y - y_{k} \right) + y_{i}, \zeta \right)$$

- 1536 simulations each to:
- Do electron cloud buildup,
- Detailed bunch passage "pinch".
- Combine on-the-fly to same 4 files.