

## Emittance Tuning for the Future Circular Collider (FCC-ee)

**Tessa Charles** 

With thanks to: S. Aumon, E. Gianfelice-Wendt, B. Holzer, K. Oide, T. Tydecks, F. Zimmermann and the entire FCC-ee optics team

DESY seminar 18.06.2019

## Continuing exploration ...

Particle colliders are powerful instruments in physics for discoveries and high precision measurements because they provide well controlled experimental conditions in laboratory environment





#### The Future Circular Collider (FCC-ee)



# FCC – an International collaboration with CERN as host laboratory:

- exploring the feasibility of several particle collider scenarios with the aim of significantly expanding the current energy and luminosity frontiers.
  - 100 km tunnel infrastructure around Geneva area
  - Lepton collider as possible first step and 100 TeV proton collider as long-term goal



#### The Future Circular Collider (FCC-ee)





parameter	Z	ww	H (ZH)	ttbar
beam energy [GeV]	45	80	120	182.5
beam current [mA]	1390	147	29	5.4
no. bunches/beam	16640	2000	393	48
bunch intensity [10 <sup>11</sup> ]	1.7	1.5	1.5	2.3
SR energy loss / turn [GeV]	0.036	0.34	1.72	9.21
total RF voltage [GV]	0.1	0.44	2.0	10.9
horizontal beta* [m]	0.15	0.2	0.3	1
vertical beta* [mm]	0.8	1	1	1.6
horiz. geometric emittance [nm]	0.27	0.28	0.63	1.46
vert. geom. emittance [pm]	1.0	1.7	1.3	2.9
bunch length with SR / BS [mm]	3.5 / 12.1	3.0 / 6.0	3.3 / 5.3	2.0 / 2.5
luminosity per IP [10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	>200	>25	>7	>1.4



parameter	Z	ww	H (ZH)	ttbar	
beam energy [GeV]	45	80	120	182.5	
beam current [mA]	1390	147	29	5.4	
no. bunches/beam	16640	2000	393	48	
bunch intensity [10 <sup>11</sup> ]	1.7	1.5	1.5	2.3	
SR energy loss / turn [GeV]	0.036	0.34	1.72	9.21	Synchrot
total RF voltage [GV]	0.1	0.44	2.0	10.9	radia
horizontal beta* [m]	0.15	0.2	0.3	1	limite
vertical beta* [mm]	0.8	1	1	1.6	MW/t
horiz. geometric emittance [nm]	0.27	0.28	0.63	1.46	
vert. geom. emittance [pm]	1.0	1.7	1.3	2.9	
bunch length with SR / BS [mm]	3.5 / 12.1	3.0 / 6.0	3.3 / 5.3	2.0 / 2.5	
luminosity per IP [10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	>200	>25	>7	>1.4	



parameter	Z	ww	H (ZH)	ttbar
beam energy [GeV]	45	80	120	182.5
beam current [mA]	1390	147	29	5.4
no. bunches/beam	16640	2000	393	48
bunch intensity [1011]	1.7	1.5	1.5	2.3
SR energy loss / turn [GeV]	0.036	0.34	1.72	9.21
total RF voltage [GV]	0.1	0.44	2.0	10.9
horizontal beta* [m]	0.15	0.2	0.3	1
vertical beta* [mm]	0.8	1	1	1.6
horiz. geometric emittance [nm]	0.27	0.28	0.63	1.46
vert. geom. emittance [pm]	1.0	1.7	1.3	2.9
bunch length with SR / BS [mm]	3.5 / 12.1	3.0 / 6.0	3.3 / 5.3	2.0 / 2.5
luminosity per IP [10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	>200	>25	>7	>1.4



parameter	Z	ww	H (ZH)	ttbar
beam energy [GeV]	45	80	120	182.5
beam current [mA]	1390	147	29	5.4
no. bunches/beam	16640	2000	393	48
bunch intensity [10 <sup>11</sup> ]	1.7	1.5	1.5	2.3
SR energy loss / turn [GeV]	0.036	0.34	1.72	9.21
total RF voltage [GV]	0.1	0.44	2.0	10.9
horizontal beta* [m]	0.15	0.2	0.3	1
vertical beta* [mm]	0.8	1	1	1.6
horiz. geometric emittance [nm]	0.27	0.28	0.63	1.46
vert. geom. emittance [pm]	1.0	1.7	1.3	2.9
bunch length with SR / BS [mm]	3.5 / 12.1	3.0 / 6.0	3.3 / 5.3	2.0 / 2.5
luminosity per IP [10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	>200	>25	>7	>1.4



## Geology and Civil Engineering studies, Implementation of the 100 km tunnel



#### Integrated FCC schedule



#### Figure of merit for proposed lepton colliders

Disclaimers:

- 1. This is not the only possible figure of merit
- 2. The presented numbers have different levels of confidence/optimism; they are still subject to optimisations





#### FCC-ee Emittance Tuning: Challenges & Constraints



$$eta_y^* = 1.6 \ {
m mm}$$
  
 $eta_{x,{
m max}} = 1587.97 \ {
m m}$   
 $eta_{y,{
m max}} = 6971.55 \ {
m m}$ 

Large emittance ratio,  $\epsilon_y/\epsilon_x = 0.201\%$ 



## Vertical dispersion & betatron coupling dominate $\varepsilon_v$ growth

Horizontal emittance:

 $\epsilon_x = \frac{C_g}{J_x} \gamma^2 \theta^3 F$ 

$$F_{FODO} = \frac{1}{2\sin\psi} \frac{5 + 3\cos\psi}{1 - \cos\psi} \frac{L}{I_B}$$

L: cell length  $l_B$ : dipole length  $\phi$ : phase advance/cell

#### Vertical emittance:

$$\epsilon_y = \left(\frac{dp}{p}\right)^2 \left(\gamma D_y^2 + 2\alpha D_y D_y' + \beta D_y'^2\right)$$

Sources of vertical emittance growth:

- vertical dispersion D<sub>v</sub>
- •
- betatron coupling opening angle  $\sim 1/\gamma$  (here negligible) •



#### **Correction Strategy**

#### • Orbit correction:

- MICADO & SVD from MADx
  - Hor. corrector at each QF, Vert. corrector at each QD
     1598 vertical correctors / 1590 horizontal correctors
  - BPM at each quadrupole
     1598 BPMs vertical / 1590 BPMs horizontal
- Vertical dispersion and orbit:
  - Orbit Dispersion Free Steering (DFS)

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1-\alpha)\vec{y} \\ \alpha\vec{D}_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\alpha)\mathbf{A} \\ \alpha\mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} \vec{\theta}$$

- Linear coupling:
  - Coupling resonant driving terms (RDT)
    - 1 skew at each sextupole + skews correctors at the IP

$$egin{pmatrix} ec{f_{1001}} \ ec{f_{1010}} \ D_y \end{pmatrix} = - \mathbf{M} \; \mathbf{ec{J}}$$

- Beta beating correction & Horizontal dispersion via Response Matrix:
  - Rematching of the phase advance at the BPMs
    - 1 trim quadrupole at each sextupole

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \left( \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_{y0}}{\beta_{y0}} \right) \\ f_2 \left( \frac{\beta_2 - \beta_{y0}}{\beta_{y0}} \right) \\ \dots \\ f_m \left( \frac{\beta_m - \beta_{y0}}{\beta_{y0}} \right) \end{pmatrix}_{meas} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \left( R_{11}, R_{12}, R_{13}, \dots, R_{1n} \right) \\ f_2 \left( R_{21}, R_{22}, R_{23}, \dots, R_{1n} \right) \\ \dots \\ f_m \left( R_{m1}, R_{m2}, R_{m3}, \dots, R_{mn} \right) \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ \dots \\ k_n \end{pmatrix}$$



#### Sensitivity of FCC-ee to errors



Error type	$y, \mathrm{rms} \ (\mathrm{mm})$	$D_{y,\mathrm{rms}} \ \mathrm{(mm)}$
quad arc ( $\Delta y = 2 \ \mu m$ )	8.809	326.71
quad arc ( $\Delta x = 10 \ \mu m$ )	0.0	0.0
quad arc ( $\Delta \phi = 10 \ \mu rad$ )	0.0	2.677
sextupoles ( $\Delta y = 10 \ \mu m$ )	0.0245	57.13
sextupoles ( $\Delta x = 10 \ \mu m$ )	0.0	0.0
sextupoles ( $\Delta \phi = 10 \ \mu rad$ )	0.0	0.004



## Correction methods applied to **only** Vertical Quadrupole Misalignments ( $\sigma_v = 100 \mu m$ )



Initial D<sub>y</sub>

After orbit correction - factor 2e4 improvement

DFS - factor 50 improvement



#### **Coupling matrix elements**

MELBOURNE



## **Correction Strategy (1/2)**

#### • Sextupoles strengths set to 0

- x-y orbits correction
- Rematch the tune
- Beat-beat correction
- CHECK of tunes, orbit,  $\beta_{y, max}$

Coupling correction	Loop 8 times
1 step Dispersion Free Steering w/o sextupole	
• +	
1 step coupling correction	
• CHECK of tunes, orbit, $\beta_{y, max}$	Loop 8 times
1 step Dispersion Free Steering w/o sextupole	·
• +	
1 step coupling correction	
• CHECK of tunes, orbit, $\beta_{v, max}$	

- Save x,x',y,y' at the beginning of the machine
- Set sextupoles strength to 10% of their design current

(details on next slide)

Final correction



(details on next slide)

#### **Correction Strategy (2/2)**

#### · Sextupoles strengths set to 0 (details on previous slide) Set sextupoles strength to 10% of their design current Constant checking • x-y orbits correction Rematch the tune of the tunes and CHECK of tunes, orbit orbit avoids running • CHECK β<sub>ν. max</sub> into resonances, or coupling and dispersion correction failure to find the · CHECK of tunes, orbit, with each iteration closed orbit. • CHECK $\beta_{v, max}$ , then beta beat correction if necessary · CHECK of tunes, orbit, with each iteration These two • ... steps increase by 10% the sextupole strength repeated $\sim 12$ times • Final correction (at 100% sextupole strength) • CHECK of tunes, orbit, $\beta_{v, max}$ · Apply required corrections based upon checks

CHECK if D<sub>VBMS</sub> > 0.001 m, then apply coupling and dispersion correction

Loop 10 times





#### **Corrected lattices**

• Using the misalignments and roll angles :

(increasing the IP quad misalignments out to 50 microns and 50 microrad.)

	$\sigma_x(\mu { m m})$	$\sigma_y(\mu { m m})$	$\sigma_{ heta}(\mu \mathrm{rad})$
arc quads	100	100	100
IP quads	50	50	50
sextupoles	100	100	100
dipoles	100	100	100

After correction:





#### **Corrected lattices**

THE UNIVERSITY OF

Using the misalignments and roll angles :		$\sigma_x(\mu{ m m})$	$\sigma_y(\mu { m m})$
(increasing the IP guad misalignments out to 100	arc quads	100	100
microns and 100 microrad.)	IP quads	100	100
	sextupoles	100	100



dipoles

 $\sigma_{\theta}(\mu rad)$ 

#### Vertical dispersion before and after correction

Dispersion introduced through: quadrupoles:  $\Delta x = 100 \ \mu m$ ,  $\Delta y = 100 \ \mu m$ ,  $\Delta \theta = 100 \ \mu m$ sextupoles:  $\Delta x = 100 \ \mu m$ ,  $\Delta y = 100 \ \mu m$ ,  $\Delta \theta = 100 \ \mu m$ dipoles:  $\Delta x = 100 \ \mu m$ ,  $\Delta y = 100 \ \mu m$ ,  $\Delta \theta = 100 \ \mu m$ 





#### **Beta beat after correction**





#### **Corrected Lattices results**

Using the misalignments and roll angles and field errors of :

	$\sigma_x(\mu{ m m})$	$\sigma_y(\mu { m m})$	$\sigma_{ heta}(\mu \mathrm{rad})$
arc quads	100	100	100
IP quads	100	100	100
sextupoles	100	100	100
dipoles	100	100	100
$\operatorname{BPMs}$	20	20	150

\*BPM error relative to quadrupole position

After correction:





#### **Dynamic and Momentum Aperture**





MELBOURNE

	$\sigma_x \; (\mu { m m})$	$\sigma_y~(\mu{ m m})$	$\sigma_{ heta} \; (\mu { m rad})$
arc quadrupoles	100	100	100
IP quadrupoles	50	50	50
sextupoles	100	100	0

## **Improving Dynamic and Momentum Aperture Using PSO**

 A particle swarm optimiser (PSO) is an evolutionary algorithm with both cognitive and 'social' components

Whilst:

- keeping sextupole pairs for –I transform
- maintain periodicity of machine
- constraint on final focus sextupole strength
  - $\Rightarrow$  294 degrees of freedom



$$\begin{split} \vec{x}_{n+1} &= \vec{x}_n + \vec{v}_{n+1}, \\ \vec{v}_{n+1} &= \omega \vec{v}_n + c_c r_1 (\vec{x}_{\text{p-best}} - \vec{x}_n) + c_s r_2 (\vec{x}_{\text{g-best}} - \vec{x}_n). \end{split}$$



#### **Accumulated set of solutions**





yields an improvement of the area of momentum aperture of 18.0% compared to the reference lattice.





#### Change in Sextupole Strengths





## Dynamic Aperture (left) & Momentum Aperture (right), before and after



Figure 2.15: Dynamic aperture (left) and momentum aperture (right) for reference lattice (black) and optimised lattice (blue). The area of dynamic aperture is improved by 3.1 % while the area of momentum aperture is increased by 18.0 %.



FCC-ee poses a challenge for emittance tuning, however with

100  $\mu m,$  100  $\mu rad$  misalignments and roll angles in arc quads, IP quads & sextupoles and dipoles, and

with BPM misalignments of 20 µm and 150 µrad,

the mean vertical emittance achieved after correction schemes applied is  $\varepsilon_y = 0.123$  pm rad and a coupling ratio of 0.0071 %.





#### Back up slides







## **Comparison of costings**

#### 1 GILCU = 10<sup>9</sup> ILC Units = 1G\$ 2012

Project	Туре	Energy [TeV]	Int. Lumi. [a <sup>.1</sup> ]	Oper. Time [y]	Power [MW]	Cost
ILC	ee	0.25	2	11	129 (upgr. 150-200)	4.8-5.3 GILCU + upgrade
		0.5	4	10	163 (204)	7.98 GILCU
		1.0			300	?
CLIC	ee	0.38	1	8	168	5.9 GCHF
		1.5	2.5	7	(370)	+5.1 GCHF
		3	5	8	(590)	+7.3 GCHF
CEPC	ee	0.091+0.16	16+2.6		149	5 G\$
		0.24	5.6	7	266	
FCC-ee	ee	0.091+0.16	150+10	4+1	259	10.5 GCHF
		0.24	5	3	282	
		0.365 (+0.35)	1.5 (+0.2)	4 (+1)	340	+1.1 GCHF
LHeC	ер	60 / 7000	1	12	(+100)	1.75 GCHF
FCC-hh	рр	100	30	25	580 (550)	17 GCHF (+7 GCHF)
HE-LHC	рр	27	20	20		7.2 GCHF

#### Introduction

- Resonant de-polarization has been proposed for accurate beam energy calibration ( $\ll$  100 keV) at 45 and 80 GeV beam energy. It relies on the relationship  $\nu_{spin} = a\gamma^{a}$ .
- Beam polarization is obtained "for free" through Sokolov-Ternov effect. The effect is in practice restricted to a limited range of values of machine size and beam energy because
  - of the build-up rate
  - it is jeopardized by machine imperfections (spin/orbital motion resonances) which affects the reachable level of polarization in particular at high energy.
- 10% beam polarization is estimated to be enough for the purpose of energy calibration.



#### Sokolov-Ternov polarization

Beam get vertically polarized in the ring guiding field

$P^{ m ST}_{\infty}=92.3\%$			$ au_p^{-1}=rac{5\sqrt{3}}{8}rac{r_e\gamma^5\hbar}{m_0C}\ointrac{ds}{  ho ^3}$
F	$-CC-e^+$	$e^{-}$	
E	$ au_{pol}$	$ au_{10\%}$ (*)	
(GeV)	(h)	h	
45	256	29	$\leftarrow$ wiggler magnets needed at
80	14	1.6	45 GeV for decreasing $ au_{10\%}$
			to a couple of hours.

(\*) Time needed to reach  $P{=}10\%$  for energy calibration



 $au_{10\%} = - au_p imes \ln(1-0.1/P_{\infty})$ 

#### Polarization in real storage rings

A perfectly planar machine (w/o solenoids) is always *spin transparent*.



Spin diffusion may be particularly large when spin and orbital motions are in resonance



 $u_{spin}\pm mQ_x\pm nQ_y\pm pQ_s={
m integer}$ 

Assumed misalignments:

	IR Quads	other Quads	Sexts
$\delta x~(\mu$ m)	50	100	100
$\delta y~(\mu$ m)	50	100	100
$\delta  heta$ ( $\mu$ rad)	50	100	100

- BPMs are supposed perfectly aligned to the near-by quadrupole.
- Tune shift and coupling are corrected by 1204 normal + 1204 skew *thin lenses* quadrupoles.

SITROS can't treat thin lenses  $\rightarrow$  replaced by 5 mm long quadrupoles.



#### Seed 13, with radiation, no wigglers

	$x_{rms}$	$y_{rms}$	$\epsilon_{x}$	$\epsilon_y$
	( $\mu$ m)	( $\mu$ m)	(pm)	(pm)
MADX (thin)	23	22	276.4	0.04
MADX (thick)	35	22	278.4	0.04
SITROS (analytic)	34	21	262.8	0.38

Seed 13, with radiation, 8 wigglers,  $B_{w}$  for  $au_{10\%}{=}1.7$  h

	$x_{rms}$	$y_{rms}$	$\epsilon_{x}$	$\epsilon_y$
	( $\mu$ m)	( $\mu$ m)	(pm)	(pm)
MADX (thin)	23	22	239.7	0.114
MADX (thick)	35	22	241.5	0.113
SITROS (analytic)	35	21	229.3	0.382



45 GeV optics with 8 wigglers for  $\tau_{10\%}$ =1.7 h, seed 13.









