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## Dipole Mode Response to Beam



Beam at angle produces signal at start of structure, cancels at end of structure:
Result is "derivative" like signal, 90 degrees
out of phase with position signal
Amplitude is proportional to (Angle) X (charge) X (cavity length)

Bunch tilt signal produces a signal with the same phase as the beam angle signal


Amplitude is proportional to (Tilt) X (charge) X (bunch length)

Not significant for the DESY TTF (bunches are very short)

Note that centers (position / angle for zero signal) of HOM modes are

## HOM Modes For This Study

- In addition to the 1.3 GHz accelerating mode, the SC cavities support higher order modes with frequencies above approximately 1.6 GHz .
- We primarily use the Dipole TE111-6
( $\sim 1700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ), TE111-7 ( $\sim 1730 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) Modes, and the TM110-4 $(\sim 1860 \mathrm{MHz})$ mode. These are the near-speed-of-light dipole modes which couple most strongly to the beam.
- Experiments were done primarily in ACC4, with some tests in ACC1.


## Experimental Setup - ACC4



## HOM Measurement Electronics



## Raw Scope Waveform



## HOM Spectrum near TE111 modes



## Signal Analysis for Beam Position

- Use conventional BPMs before and after structure to define beam position and angle at the cavities.
- For HOM signals, measure complex amplitude at line frequencies
- Each "line", e.g. TE111-6 has 2 polarizations, at slightly different frequencies
- Each cavity has 2 HOM ports
- Complex signal has 2 real degrees of freedome
- Get 8 real measurements / cavity (for 1 mode).


## Linear Regression

- Given a set of measurements for a set of variables, predict the measurements for one variable based on the others.
- Prediction is a linear combination of the other variables for that measurement.
- Linear combination is chosen to minimize the RMS error of the prediction of the variable over all measurements.
- Need more measurements than variables!!!
- Can also use to predict $X$ and $Y$, from mode components.

Set of Measurements $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ on the "reference" mode where "a" is the data set (1:100 for our data), and " $b$ " is one of the 8 components of the mode:

Polarization 1 or 2
Coupler 1 or 2
Real or Imaginary part

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
M_{1,1} & \ldots & M_{1,8} & 1 \\
M_{2,1} & \ldots & \ldots & 1 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 1 \\
M_{100,1} & \ldots & M_{100,8} & 1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
R_{1} \\
\ldots \\
R_{9}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
M_{1, x} \\
M_{2, x} \\
\ldots \\
M_{100, x}
\end{array}\right]
$$

"Ones" allow for offsets
In modes vs. BPMs

Set of measurements from the BPMs $X$ is a single component (out of $X, X^{\prime}, Y, Y^{\prime}$ ) for the target mode.

These coefficients R are found by "linear regression", in our case the arithmetic is done by Matlab.

## Experimental setup for HOM Mode Regression against BPMs

- Use ACC4. All cavities measured, several modes. CAV1 measurements, TE111-6 shown.
- Really "typical": haven't had time to find plots with best resolution
- Use BPMs just upstream and downstream of ACC4
- HOM signals measured without pre-amplifiers to provide larger range (for cavity alignment studies).
- Approximately 10 dB increase in noise figure.
- Resolution measurements include conventional BPM resolution


## Hom Mode vectors during corrector scan (4-d scan)

Real vs. Imaginary part of HOM modes, ACC4 Cavity 1


## HOM Mode regression for $X$



## HOM mode regression for $X$ angle.



## HOM BPM resolution

- 7 micron, 4 micron-radian resolution.
- Consistent with $\sim 1$ meter lever arm for angular resolution
- Indicates that conventional BPM resolution better than $\sim 10$ microns. (not limited)
- Dynamic range ~ 1 millimeter (with this gain / attenuation)
- Previous test of HOM mode resolution (end cavities vs. center cavity) gave 3 micron resolution
- Test done with preamplifiers - but in an earlier hardware configuration



## Cavity Alignment from HOM modes

- Several analysis methods tried - so far best appears to be:
- Record HOM signals and conventional BPMs for a series of machine cycles
- Find HOM (complex) amplitudes as a function of frequency (from FFT)
- Linear Regression / Singular Value Decomposition to find matrix between HOM amplitudes and BPMs
- Find beam position / angle corresponding to zero HOM signals in each cavity.
- Work still preliminary

Cavity X Alignment ACC4



## Cavity Center Measurement Issues

- HOMs have few micron resolution
- Would expect cavity resolution to similar level
- See resolution worse than 100 microns


## WHY?

- Beam trajectories not steered through zero in angle.
- Must project angles to zero - introduces errors
- Can't "ignore" angle - it is related to position by RF phase angle.
- In future (this week?) use feedback to stabilize on position and angle for each cavity in sequence
- 8 HOM degrees of freedom ( 2 X coupler, 2 X mode polarization, 2 X real / imaginary), represent 4 real degrees of freedom (X, X', Y, Y')
- Need to understand how to treat correctly
- Some cavities, polarization frequencies are degenerate
- Need both couplers, but only 1 Ine
- Some cavities polarization frequences are well separated
- Need both lines, but only 1 coupler.
- Many cavities are partially degenerate
- Need help with the math.


## HOM Based Beam Feedback

- Do a calibration of HOM mode (complex) amplitudes against two sets of $X, Y$ correctors.
- Linear regression, similar to what we did for BPMs described earlier
- Use first and last cavity in a structure
- Feedback adjusts the correctors to minimize HOM amplitudes.
- 2 cavities, have 16 real measurements
- 4 control degrees of freedom
- Combine feedback signals for all modes -> minimizes RMS
- Two experiments:
- ACC4, cavities 1 and 8
- ACC1, cavities 1 and 8
- In each case plot the 16 real amplitudes ( 2 cavities $\times 2$ couplers $\times 2$ frequencies X real / imaginary part) for each machine cycle.


## ACC 4 Feedback



HOM mode component amplitudes during feedback, vs. machine cycle



Conventional BPMs during feedback

Beam position and angle set to minimize total power in TE111-6 modes in Cavities 1 and 8 of ACC4

## ACC1 Feedback



Feedback minimized HOM Power.

Emittance optimized before feedback operation 1.6X1.8 (90\%)

After feedback, Emittance slightly improved 1.6X1.6 (90\%) (not clear if this is statistically significant)

Beam not tuned after feedback
HOM mode component amplitudes during feedback, vs. machine cycle

## HOM Diagnostic System for Full TTF Linac

- Want to simultaneously instrument all 40 cavities in the TTF
- Need 80 channels of data acquisition
- Scope based system (used for previous measurements) requires one ( 4 channel, $5 \mathrm{Gs} / \mathrm{s}$ ) scope for 2 cavities.
- 20 scopes, at $\sim 30,000 €$ is too expensive
- Build narrow band (10MHz BW) system
- Dowmix to 25 MHz IF
- Digitizer with $100 \mathrm{Ms} / \mathrm{s}, 14$ bit digitizers (SIS3301 8 channel VME modules)
- System hardware cost $\sim 100,000 €$ for full system.
- Narrow band system can only measure 1 mode - choose TE111-6 - 10 MHz bandwidth input filters
- Theoretical noise similar to existing HOM system
- Linearity / dynamic range expected $\sim 20 \mathrm{~dB}$ better than existing system.
- Expect 1 micron resolution at 1 millimeter dynamic range.



## HOM Downmix Board

## IF output

 amplifier




New DAQ system plot (multi-bunch)


## Multi-bunch operation

- New hardware can digitizer signals for the full length of the TTF bunch train. >1 millisecond.
- At each bunch passage, field amplitude from the bunch addes to the existing field amplitude.
- Fields from previous bunches decay at a predictable rate
- Only care about field after passage of previous bunch History does not matter.
- Can subtract (decayed) fields at time of previous bunch to find new contribution.
- Effective integration time $\sim 1$ microsecond (rather than $\sim 10$ currently used). Will reduce resolution, but still expect <10 microns.


## HOM System Applications

- Real time BPM - all cavities in TTF
- Expect single bunch resolution $\sim 1$ micron
- 3 micron demonstrated
- Measure each bunch in train to ~10 microns
- Multi-bunch measurement not yet demonstrated
- Need automated calibration and integration with DOOCS.
- HOM mode minimization feedback
- Should improve emittance
- Demonstrated for 2 cavities in one structure
- Should be possible to feedback to beam orbit which minimizes HOM power in full machine.
- Need to integrate with DESY feedback system
- Measure / monitor cavity alignment within structures
- Preliminary results suggest $\sim 100$ micron resolution
- Expect few micron results
- Work ongoing.

